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Abstract

This study aims to find out how the effect of e-service quality on e-loyalty through e-satisfaction at Mandar Coklat Shop Macoa. The population in this study were customers at Mandar Coklat Shop Macoa the sample used was 60 customers. Data collection was carried out using the questionnaire method which was distributed via Google from. The data analysis technique used is partial least squares (PLS) using measurement model analysis (outer model) and structural model analysis (inner model) where the research results show a direct effect of E-Service (X) on E-Loyalty (Y), where from the results of the statistical test the result is a p-value (0.011) <0.05, which means that the p-value is less than the significance level of 0.05 so that there is a direct effect of E-Service on E-Loyalty.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Currently, consumers have many choices to buy goods or services. This is inseparable from technological developments that allow consumers to shop online. Seeing consumers controlling purchases through social media is one of the productive factors in carrying out the buying and selling process, and the availability of various social media such as Instagram and WhatsApp as a resource for producers and consumers in the transaction process that is carried out only through social media. The opening of business opportunities for SMEs has encouraged manufacturers to change the way they shop, because previously consumers had to go to the store to make purchases.

Research on the relationship between e-service, e-satisfaction and e-loyalty both have a significant and positive relationship to each variable. e-service research can be used by individuals, companies and other e-services that can be accessed through a wider range of channels. network rather than traditional services. One of the MSMEs that conduct transactions online is the Mandar Chocolate Shop (Macoa) which has been operating since
2015 and continues to strive to maintain consumer loyalty to the chocolate products it produces. Mandar Chocolate Shops (Macoa) are examples of MSMEs engaged in cocoa processing under the name Macoa in Polewali Mandar Regency by providing various types of chocolate products that differ in taste and shape.

Sales of Mandar Chocolate (Macoa) products began in 2015 by releasing chocolate products from cocoa farmers in Tanah Mandar and starting to market these products in April 2015. It can be seen from the sales data above that unit sales of Mandar chocolate (Macoa) experienced increase in sales in 2019-2020. Then in 2022 the Mandar Chocolate Shop (Macoa) will again make white chocolate products with the aim that consumers will not feel bored with the taste provided by the Mandar Chocolate Shop (Macoa) so that it can be seen in the table above that sales data for Chocolate Products (Macoa) from 2020 until 2022 has increased. Sales will increase from 2020 to 2022 because Mandar Chocolate Shop (Macoa) always provides good service to consumers and always accepts suggestions and input through social media as a forum for making sales transactions so that consumers feel loyal and will make repeat purchases.

Currently, Macoa products are distributed in several gift shops, hotels, cafes and airports in West Sulawesi and South Sulawesi. In addition, Macoa products can be ordered online.

2. IMPLEMENTATION METHOD

The variables in this study can be classified into three variables, namely E-service quality (X) E-satisfaction (Z) and E-loyalty (Y). The partial least squares (PLS) method was first developed by Herman Wold (1966) as a common procedure in predict the path model using a latent construct with many indicators. The PLS method is a distribution that does not take into account distributed data.

Special, that is, it can be nominal, category, ordinal, interval and ratio. PLS has factors that are not determined by strong analytical method, therefore it does not perceive the data as a certain scale with a small sample size. Output from PLS for the research path model, then resampling bootstrapping is carried out so that the loading value is validated with the t-distribution value with p- the values. The level of confidence applied in this study is 95% (1-α) or it is said with α = 0.05 which indicates the possibility of type 1 error or the possibility
of rejecting the true null hypothesis. So that the critical t-value for this α value is 1.672. Based on this output, then tested t at α = 0.05 and conclusions were drawn for each hypothesized path.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this research, using respondents who are scattered in several characteristics, ranging from gender, age, last education and occupation. This data was obtained through random distribution of questionnaires. Respondents in this study were consumers at Bread Shop Maros Salendrang. The sample in this study was 60 people. In the PLS analysis, the basic evaluation was carried out, namely the evaluation of the measurement model (Outer model) with the aim of knowing the validity and reliability of indicators that measure latent variables. Testing the validity and reliability of indicators in this study refers to discriminant validity, convergent validity, and composite reliability.

Evaluation of the E-Service Variable Measurement Model

E-Service variable measurement is reflected through four dimensions, namely: X1, X2, X3, X4, X5 and X6. Evaluation of the outer model or measurement model can be seen from the outer loading value of each E-Service variable indicator. The following shows the outer loading value of the E-Service construct in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Outer Loading</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-Services</td>
<td>X1</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>6.560</td>
<td>19.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X2</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X3</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X4</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X5</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X6</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data Processed by Researchers (2022)

Above it can be seen that there are five outer loading values on E-Service > 0.70 besides indicators X1, X2, X3 and X5 which have outer loading values of 0.067 <0.70, these indicators are not continued in the next analysis (eliminated) rubber does not meet requirements for further analysis. The E-Service latent variable produces an AVE value of 19.306 > 0.50 (valid). Meanwhile, from the results of the calculation of composite realibility
6.560 > 0.70 (reliable). In detail, the factors that contribute to the latent construct are as follows:

1) Indicator X4 in forming the E-Service variable is 0.752
2) Indicator X6 in forming the E-Service variable is 0.755

Overall, it can be concluded that the indicators X4 and X6 are indicators that can reflect the E-Service variable.

**Evaluation of the E-Satisfaction Variable Measurement Model**

The measurement of the E-Satisfaction variable is reflected through six dimensions, namely: Z1, Z2, Z3, Z4, Z5, and Z6. Evaluation of the outer model or measurement model can be seen from the outer loading value of each Satisfaction variable indicator. The following shows the outer loading value of the Satisfaction construct in Table 2.

**Table 2 Evaluation of the Measurement Model on E-Satisfaction Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Question Items</th>
<th>Outer Loading</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>Z1</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>5.331</td>
<td>19.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z4</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z5</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z6</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: *Data Processed by Researchers (2022)*

It is known that there are four outer loading values at E-Satisfaction > 0.70 besides indicators Z2, Z3, Z4, Z5 and Z6 which have outer loading values < 0.70, these indicators are not continued in the next analysis (eliminated) because they do not meet the requirements for further analysis. The latent variable E-Satisfaction produces an AVE value of 19.191 > 0.50 (valid). Meanwhile, from the results of composite reliability calculations it was 5.331 > 0.70 (reliable). In detail, the factors that contribute to the latent construct are as follows:

1) The Z1 indicator in forming the E-Satisfaction variable is 0.884.

Overall, it can be concluded that the Z1 indicator is an indicator that can reflect the E-Satisfaction variable.
Evaluation of the E-Loyalty Variable Measurement Model

Table 3 Evaluation of the Measurement Model on the E-Loyalty Variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Question Items</th>
<th>Outer Loading</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-Loyalty</td>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>1.269</td>
<td>4.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y5</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y6</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data Processed by Researchers (2022)

Based on the table above, it can be seen that there are five outer loading values at E-Loyalty > 0.70 besides indicators Y1, Y4, Y5 and Y6 which have outer loading values of 0.633 < 0.70. These indicators are not continued in the next analysis (eliminated) because they are not met the requirements for further analysis. The latent variable E-Loyalty resulted in an AVE value of 4.228 > 0.50 (valid). Meanwhile, from the results of the composite reliability calculation, it was 1.269 > 0.70 (reliable). In detail, the factors that contribute to the latent construct are as follows:

1) The Y2 indicator in forming the E-Loyalty variable is 0.863
2) The Y3 indicator in forming the E-Loyalty variable is 0.093

Overall it can be concluded that the indicators Y2, Y3, are indicators that can reflect the variable E-Loyalty

Influence Direct E-Service to E-Satisfaction

The figure shows the direct effect of E-Service (X) on Satisfaction (Z), where from the statistical test results it is obtained that the p value (0.000) < 0.05 means that the p value is less than the significance level of 0.05 so there is a direct effect of E-Service to Satisfaction. The amount of the contribution can be seen in the estimated value of 31.590,
this figure means that the direct effect of the E-Service variable on Satisfaction is 31.590 and the remainder is influenced by other variables outside the E-Service indicator.

The Direct Effect of E-Satisfaction on E-Loyalty

![Figure 2 Satisfaction Influence Path Analysis Model(Z) to E-Loyalty (Y)](image)

The picture shows the direct effect of Satisfaction (Z) on E-Loyalty (Y), where from the statistical test results it is obtained that the p value (0.007) <0.05 means that the p value is less than the significance level of 0.05 so that there is a direct effect of Satisfaction on E-Loyalty. The magnitude of the contribution can be seen in the estimated value of 2.694, this number means that the direct effect of the Satisfaction variable on E-Loyalty is 2.694 and the remainder is influenced by other variables outside the Satisfaction indicator.

E-Services on E-Loyalty Direct Influence

![Figure 3 E-Service Influence Path Analysis Model(X) to E-Loyalty (Y)](image)

The figure shows the direct effect of E-Service (X) on E-Loyalty (Y), where from the statistical test results it is obtained that the p value (0.011) <0.05 means that the p value is less than the significance level of 0.05 so that there is an influence direct E-Service to E-Loyalty. The amount of the contribution can be seen in the estimated value of 2.560, this number means that the direct effect of the E-Service variable on E-Loyalty is 2.560 and the rest is influenced by other variables outside the indicator.
Indirect Effect of E-Service Variables on E-Loyalty Through E-Satisfaction

The figure shows the effect of E-Service (X) on E-Loyalty (Y) through Satisfaction (Z), where the results of the statistical test show that the p-value (0.006) <0.05 means that the p-value is less than the significance level of 0.05 so that there is an indirect effect of E-Service on E-Loyalty through Satisfaction. The amount of the contribution can be seen in the estimated value of 2.756, this number means that the indirect effect of the E-Service variable on E-Loyalty through Satisfaction is 2.756 and the rest is influenced by other variables outside the E-Service indicator.

CONCLUSION

This research was conducted to examine the effect of e-service quality and e-satisfaction variables on loyalty at Mandar Chocolate Shop (Macoa). From the results and data analysis and discussion in the previous chapter, it can be concluded that E-Service Quality has an influence on E-Satisfaction at Mandar Chocolate Shop (Macoa) on Instagram. Thus, the first hypothesis can be declared accepted. E-Satisfaction has an influence on E-loyalty at Mandar Chocolate Shop (Macoa).
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